

### Eccentricity

Because of the symmetry equation (5.8) can be rewritten:

$$M_1 = \frac{4 E_w I_w / h}{8 E_w I_w / h + 8 E_c I_c / L_3} \left( \frac{w_3 L_3^2}{12} - \frac{w_2 L_2^2}{12} \right)$$

or

$$M_1 = \frac{1}{2 + 2(E_c I_c h / E_w I_w L_3)} \left( \frac{L_3^2}{12} (w_3 - w_2) \right)$$

Taking  $E_c/E_w=2$ ,  $I_c/I_w=1$ ,  $h=2650$  mm and the clear span  $L_3=2797.5$  mm

$$M_1 = \frac{1[2.8^2 \times (8.835 - 5.535)/12]}{2 + (2 \times 2 \times 1 \times 0.947)} = \frac{2.156}{5.788} = 0.372 \text{ kN m}$$

$$N_1 = 8.835 + 5.535 + 170.25 + (1.35 \times 17) = 207.57 \text{ kN}$$

$$M_1/N_1 = 0.372/207.57 = 0.0018 \text{ m}$$

Taking  $e_{hi}=0$  and  $e_{a=}h_{ef}/450=1.988/450=0.004\text{m}$  equation (5.4) becomes

$$e_i = 0.0018 + 0 + 0.004 = 0.0058 \quad (\geq 0.05t = 0.005)$$

The design vertical stress at the junction is  $207.57/102.5$  and since this is greater than  $0.25 \text{ N/mm}^2$  the code allows the eccentricity to be reduced by  $(1-k/4)$  where  $k$  is given by equation (5.9).

For this example

$$k = E_c I_c h / E_w I_w L_3 = 2 \times 1 \times 0.947 = 1.894$$

and the factor

$$(1 - k/4) = (1 - 0.4735) = 0.5265$$

so that the eccentricity can be reduced to  $0.0049$  and

$$\Phi_i = 1 - 2 \times 0.0049/0.1025 = 0.90$$

### Slenderness ratio

As for section (a).

### Design vertical load resistance

In this section the value of  $\Phi_i=0.90$  must replace the value of  $\beta=0.78$  used in section (a) and  $\gamma_m=3.0$ , resulting in a value of  $30.87 f_k$  for the design vertical load resistance.

### Determination of $f_k$

As for section (a)

$$30.87f_k = 8.835 + 8.835 + 170.25 + (1.35 \times 17)$$

$$= 210.87 \text{ kN}$$

$$f_k = 6.83 \text{ N/mm}^2$$

### Modification factors for $f_k$

There are no modification factors since the cross-sectional area of the wall is greater than  $0.1\text{m}^2$  and the Eurocode does not include a modification factor for narrow walls.

### Required value of $f_k$

$$f_k = 6.83 \text{ N/mm}^2 \text{ (compared with } 8.35 \text{ in section (a))}$$

Note that in ENV 1996–1–1 an additional assumption is required for the calculation in that the modular ratio is used. This ratio is not used in BS 5628. It can be shown that for this symmetrical case the value assumed for the ratio does not have a great influence on the final value obtained for  $f_k$ . In fact for the present example taking  $E_{\text{slab}}/E_{\text{wall}}=1$  would result in  $f_k=7.0\text{N/mm}^2$  whilst taking  $E_{\text{slab}}/E_{\text{wall}}=4$  would result in  $f_k=6.7\text{N/mm}^2$ .

### Selection of brick/mortar combination

This selection can be achieved using the formula given in section 4.4.3.(b) Using the previously calculated value of  $f_k$  and an appropriate value for  $f_m$ , the compressive strength of the mortar, the formula can be used to find  $f_b$ , the normalized unit compressive strength. This value can then be corrected using  $\delta$ , from Table 4.6, to allow for the height/width ratio of the unit used.

## 5.9.2 Example 2: External cavity wall (Fig. 5.17)

### (a) Using BS 5628

#### Loads on inner leaf

	DL (kN/m)	IL (kN/m)
Load from above	21.1	2.2
Self-weight of wall	17.0	–
Load from slab	4.1	2.2